\vert A

l,l'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl: Synthesis, Properties, Precursors, Derivatives, and Metal Complexes

Klaus Dziwok, Joachim Lachmann, Dallas L. Wilkinson, Gerhard Müller, and Hubert Schmidbaur*

Anorganisch-chemisches Institut der Technischen Universität München, LichtenbergstraSe 4, D-8046 Garching

-Received August IO, 1989

Key Words: Bicyclopropyl / Diphosphines, chelating ligands / Rhodium complexes / Gold complexes / Phosphine sulfides / Phosphine - boranes

The title compound 4 has been prepared from readily available 2,3-bis(diphenylphosphinyl)-1,3-butadiene (1) through double cyclopropanation using $Me₂S(CH₂)O$ to give 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphinyl)bicyclopropyl (2), followed by reduction using $HSiCl₃/NEt₃$. Addition of sulfur to compound 4 yields the disulfide 5, and reaction with tetrahydrofuran-borane affords the 1:2 adduct with $BH₃$ (6). Quaternization reactions with MeI or $CH₂I₂$ give the double quaternary salts 7 and 8, respectively. Single dehydrohalogenation employing nBuLi converts 8 into the cyclic semiylide salt 9. 4 is an excellent ligand for lowvalent late transition-metal cations. With $PdI₂$ the 1:1 complex LPdI₂ (10, with L = 4), and with $[({\rm CO})_2{\rm RhCl}]_2$ the ionic 2:1 complex $L_2Rh^+Cl^-$ (11) are obtained. Experiments with (CO)AuCl yield the 1:2 complex $L(AuCl)₂$ (12). X-ray structure

Ditertiary phosphines are an important class of compounds for a number of reasons: 1) As ligands, they are extremely powerful chelating systems for low-valent metals $1,2$. Through steric or electronic substituent effects, their geometry can be tailored such that access to a reactive center is only possible from certain directions or that one substrate molecule is favoured over the other. Through this strategy, stereo- and regioselectivity in reactions with a variety of compounds, stoichiometrically or catalytically, has been accomplished³⁾. In other cases, a special selection of substituents and their anchoring at support materials can be used for inducing either solubility in specific solvents or complete insolubility. -2) Ditertiary phosphines are precursors for the corresponding open-chain or cyclic phosphonium salts, phosphine - boranes, oxides, sulfides etc., which can be transformed further, e.g. into phosphonium ylides, carbodiphosphoranes, and related compounds^{4,5)}. α , ω -Ditertiary phosphines like bis(diphenylphosphino)methane ("dppm") or -ethane ("dppe", "diphos") based on an alkane skeleton are particularly prominent examples, which have also been used extensively in this laboratory, e.g. as ligands to gold and other coinage metals^{$6-8$}.

In contrast, the chemistry of poly(phosphino)-functional alkenes and alkynes is less well developed, and even simple representatives have appeared only recently. Owing to the rigidity of their alkene/ yne skeleton, these ligands have special features and were quickly recognized as very valuable alternatives.

Consequently, the chemistry of species like 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethene or 2,3-bis(diphcnylphosphino)butadiene is presently attracting considerable attention⁹⁻¹³⁾. Due to the well known similarities in chemical reactivity and structural rigidity of alkene and cyclopropane functions, the chemistry of phosphinocyclopropanes has likewise become the subject of current studies. We report here analyses were performed with single crystals of the disulfide 5, as well as the rhodium(I) and gold(I) complexes 11 and 12. 5 has a conformation between s-cis and s-trans with the PS functions pointing away from each other at opposite ends of the molecule. By contrast, in the gold(I) complex the ligand approaches an s-cis conformation, and through rotations about P – C and C – C bonds – as referred to the conformation of 5 - the metal atoms are brought into close contact: Au'' - Au = 3.085 A. Through temperature-dependent NMR investigations of compounds 5 and 12, and by comparison with values calculated or experimentally determined for related bicyclopropyl compounds (available in the literature), the energy of the Au...Au attraction has been estimated to be ca. 6 kcal/mol. Compound 11 features a square-planar, double-chelate cation.

our results on the title compound, which are an extension of our previous findings on phosphonium cyclopropylides¹⁴⁾ and 1,1-bis-(diphenylphosphino)cyclopropane^{15,16}, as well as on 2,3-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-1,3-butadiene 12,17 .

Synthesis of 1,1'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl

A careful reinvestigation of the reaction between 2-butyne-1,4-diol and chlorodiphenylphosphine¹³⁾ has made 2,3bis(diphenylphosphinyl)-1,3-butadiene (1) a readily available starting material. Treatment of this compound with dimethylsulfoxonium methylide in dimethyl sulfoxide led to double cyclopropanation affording 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphinyl)bicyclopropyl(2) in moderate yield (ca. 40%). Attempted cyclopropanation through double $[2 + 3]$ -cycloaddition of diazomethane (followed by nitrogen elimination: $3 \rightarrow 2$) failed owing to difficulties in the second step. While 3 could be isolated in pure form (ca. 40% yield), its decomposition was difficult to control and gave only a multicomponent mixture of products.

Reduction of compound 2 was accomplished by treatment with trichlorosilane in the presence of triethylamine in toluene. After hydrolytic workup, the title compound 4 could be isolated in ca. 45% yield (Scheme 1).

Characterization of compounds 2, 3, and 4 by analytical and spectroscopic techniques was straightforward (see Experimental). According to the NMR data, compounds 2 and 4 in solution have (at least time-averaged) mirror symmetry

Scheme 1

rendering the phenyl and methylene groups equivalent. Compound 3, for which owing to its two chiral centers diastereomers could be expected, was isolated as only one isomer according to the $31P-NMR$ singlet and the corresponding set of 1 H- and 13 C-NMR signals. No attempt has been made to identify this isomer.

Derivatives of l,l'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl (4)

Addition of elemental sulfur to solutions of 4 in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature leads to the formation of the disulfide 5, the thio analogue of the oxide 2. This compound is easily obtained as large single crystals, the structure of which could be determined by X-ray diffraction (below). As expected, the NMR and IR data show close parallels with those of the oxide 2. The 'H-NMR spectrum is independent of temperature in the range from -90 to $+50^{\circ}$ C. The spectra are in agreement with either free internal rotations about all bonds, or with a fixed symmetrical conformation (all Ph and all $CH₂$ groups equivalent).

A bis(borane) adduct 6 is obtained on treatment of 4 with two equivalents of the tetrahydrofuran – borane adduct. The analytical data are consistent with a symmetrical addition, i.e. of one $BH₃$ group at each phosphorus atom. Ionic forms based on the introduction of the moieties BH_2^+ and $BH_4^$ can be excluded.

Excess iodomethane converts compound 4 into the bis- (phosphonium) salt 7. The cation of this diiodide is isoelectronic with the bis(phosphine $-$ borane) 6. Diiodomethane affords the cyclic bis(phosphonium) salt 8, containing a fivemembered ring with the onium centers in 1,3-position. As observed previously for analogous cyclic phosphonium salts¹⁸), the CH₂ bridge between the P atoms is readily deprotonated on reaction with one equivalent of n -butyllithium in pentane to give the semiylide salt 9. The ^{13}C -NMR signals of the new semiylide function show the characteristic large triplet splitting $[J(PC) = 113.8 \text{ Hz}]^{18}$. No attempts have been made to generate a highly strained cyclic carbodiphosphorane¹⁹⁾.

Metal Complexes of 1,1'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl (4)

Compound 4 is a strong bidentate ligand for low-valent second- and third-row transition metals. A typical example is furnished in the reaction with palladium diiodide in dichloromethane, which produces an almost quantitative yield of the extremely stable neutral 1:1 complex 10 (m.p. 345° C).

The ionic 2:1 *rhodium(I)* complex 11 is formed on reaction with the chloro(dicarbonyl)rhodium(I) dimer in benzene solution. After the evolution of carbon monoxide gas has ceased, high yields of a yellow product can be isolated, which is forming single crystals containing disordered solvent molecules upon slow crystallization from dimethyl sulfoxide. The crystal structure has been determined by X-ray diffraction, and the complex cation of the salt has been observed in the field-desorption mass spectrum (below).

Finally, the crystalline $1:2$ complex 12 is formed in high yield in the reaction of the ligand 4 with two equivalents of carbonyl(chloro)gold(I) in tetrahydrofuran. The molecular structure of this dinuclear species could be determined by X-ray diffraction analysis. A complete set of analytical and

spectroscopic data is also available (see below and Experimental).

In the present context it is an important finding that the NMR spectra of complex 12 show a temperature dependence in solution. While at ambient temperature the spectra suggest equivalent $CH₂$ and Ph groups, at low temperature two sets of signals are observed for these moieties. This indicates a fixed gauche conformation of C_2 symmetry in solution at low temperature (in agreement with the crystal structure, below), but at least a free oscillating motion about the central $C - C$ bond at higher temperatures rendering the $CH₂$ and Ph groups equivalent on the NMR time scale by conformation averaging to give pseudo C_s symmetry. It must remain an open question at this stage if the intramolecular Au…Au contact detected in the crystal is lost in the rotation about the central $C-C$ bond, since a simple gauche/gauche conformational change through a 120° C – C rotation is fully sufficient to account for the spectroscopic data. From the coalescence temperatures of the $CH₂$ and Ph signals free activation energies of the equilibration process can be calculated. The values obtained are 13.1 and 12.7 kcal/mol, respectively. This energy, averaged at 12.9 kcal/mol, can either be taken as the barrier for the $C-C$ bond "oscillation" (*gauche*/*gauche*), or as the barrier to full rotation about this bond (gauche-trans-gauche) including the rupture of the Au---Au linkage (see Discussion and Conclusions).

The Structure and Conformation of the l,l'-Bis- (diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl Ligand and Its Complexes

We have become particularly interested in the structural and conformational details of the ligand 4, since a more profound knowledge of these could allow yet another approach to the question of weak intramolecular forces between third-row transition-metal centers with a closed-shell electronic configuration in their complexes²⁰⁾. For this purpose it was considered more appropriate to determine the structure of the disulfide 5, rather than that of the "free" ligand, where the phosphorus atoms are uncoordinated. With the sulfur atoms in positions otherwise occupied by metal atoms, the geometry at the phosphorus atoms should be similar to that in the complexes, and no specific conformational changes are to be expected from attractive or repulsive S...S contacts.

Moreover, there appears to be a general interest in the structure of bicyclopropyl compounds, since the few investigations on such systems reported to-date do not yet provide a consistent picture of the relative stabilities of the various possible conformations^{$21-23$}.

l,l'-Bis(diphenylthiophosphinyl)bicyclopropyl(5)

The structure of compound 5 is shown in Figure I. The molecule has no crystallographic symmetry, but a structural relation to a configuration with point group C_i can nevertheless be recognized. The projection chosen for Figure 1 shows, that the two cyclopropyl rings are not in a standard conformation (s-trans, s-cis, or gauche). Most importantly,

it is also obvious, that the two phosphorus-sulfur vectors are pointing away from each other on different sides of the molecular skeleton. Since the P-S vectors in 5 should coincide with the directions of the donor lone pairs of electrons in 4, clearly with this conformation neither could the ligand 4 chelate one metal atom nor could two metals in a dinuclear complex (one at each phosphorus atom) be in close contact. Extensive rotation about the $P-C$ bonds $(P1-C13$ and P2-C23) and about the central $C-C$ bond $(C13-C23)$ would be necessary to allow these types of coordination interaction.

Figure 1. Molecular structure of compound 5 (ORTEP, 50% prob- ability ellipsoids, with atomic numbering; H atoms omitted for clarity)

The bond lengths and angles of molecule 5 are normal and comparable to those reported by Dunitz et al.²³⁾ for other bicyclopropyl compounds. The torsion angle $P1$ - $C13 - C23 - P2$ of 139.39° indicates an intermediate conformation between s-trans and s-cis (gauche), probably determined by the steric bulk of the two $Ph₂PS$ groups. This is in contrast to the geometry encountered with 1,1'-dinitrobicyclopropyl, where the planes of the $NO₂$ substituents are bisecting the cyclopropyl rings²³⁾, probably for electronic reasons as suggested by the orientation of the Walsh orbitals of the strained ring systems.

l,l'-Bis[chloroaurio(I)diphenylphosphino]bicyclopropyl (12)

Complex 12 crystallizes from chloroform with two solvent molecules in the unit cell. Again, the molecule has no crystallographic symmetry, but the molecular geometry is close to point group C_2 (Figure 3). With the torsion angle P1 – $C13 - C23 - P2$ at only 94.93°, the ligand conformation in 12 is approaching the *gauche* orientation, and through this change, together with rotations about the $P1 - C13$ and $P2 - C23$ bonds (as compared to 5), the gold atoms have been brought into close proximity. The resulting Au...Au contact of 3.085(l) A is reminiscent of the many other cases in the structural chemistry of gold, where it is becoming obvious that an attractive force is operative between the d^{10} metal centers.

The remainder geometry of the ligand and the almost linear $P-Au-Cl$ axes (which form an angle of 56.18 $^{\circ}$) are by no means exceptional. It thus appears that replacement of the sulfur atoms in 5 by the AuCl groups (to give 12) induces major conformational changes. Since the steric requirements of the two "substituents" (S, AuCl) are comparable, and since polarities should not be grossly different (associated with similar packing forces), the reason for the changes must be attributed to $Au \cdots Au$ attraction. This is even more compelling since the sub-van-der-Waals $Au \cdots Au$ contact of ca. 3.00 \AA is in excellent agreement with findings for related systems^{$7-9,17$}.

Figure 2. Molecular structure of the cation A in complex 11 (ORTEP, 50% probability ellipsoids, with atomic numbering; H atoms omitted for clarity). The structure of cation B is very similar. Both cations have a crystallographic center of inversion, occupied by a rhodium atom

It is tempting to try to complement this qualitative confirmation of previous evidence by an estimate of the energy gain associated with the Au...Au contact. Theoretical studies^{21,22)} and NMR investigations²³⁾ suggest activation barriers for the $C-C$ bond rotation in bicyclopropyl and its derivatives to be not in excess of 3.5 kcal/mol. These estimates are of course subject to major corrections for steric and electronic effects of substituents and of the environment (solvent, gas phase). As judged from the known effects of methyl crowding, another $2 - 3$ kcal/mol may be a realistic estimate for the 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino) substituents. These effects should be similar for 5 and 12 (above), and it is therefore justified to assume that the $Au \cdots Au$ attraction is stronger than the sum of ca. 6 kcal/mol from the two contributions mentioned above.

It is gratifying that this result is in good agreement with those arrived at for other systems^{17,20}. The ligand 4 thus proved to a be very useful system for the elucidation of parameters which are difficult to obtain otherwise. The given energetics of its internal motions are ideal for a determination of weak attractive forces between metal atoms.

Bis[l,l'-bis(diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl]rhodium(I) Chloride (11)

Crystals of this compound, grown in dimethyl sulfoxide, contain two crystallographically independent molecules (complex cations and chloride anions) and two molecules of solvent in the unit cell with the rhodium atoms positioned on inversion centers. The two independent cations differ only slightly, mainly due to variations in the orientation of the phenyl groups (Figure 3). To a first approximation, the rhodium coordination sphere can be described as square planar as expected for a metal with a $d⁸$ low-spin electronic configuration in a strong ligand field. The ligands are fixed in a staggered conformation (torsion angle $P - C - C - P$ is 37.7"). This configuration reduces steric interference of cyclopropyl and phenyl moieties. The five-membered rings adopt envelope configurations^{24}.

Figure 3. Molecular structure of complex 12 (ORTEP, 50% probability ellipsoids, with atomic numbering; H atoms omitted for clarity)

Discussion and Conclusions

The present studies have shown, that $1,1'$ -diphosphinobicyclopropyls are readily available from convenient starting materials. They form numerous derivatives, including metal complexes where the metal atoms are either chelated or ligand-bridged. As judged from the crystal structure of the disulfide, the free ligand's ground state conformation is close to the trans orientation of the cyclopropyl rings, similar to those observed previously for the parent hydrocarbon and its simple derivatives.

In the dinuclear complex with two AuCl equivalents a conformation close to the cis (gauche) form is encountered in the crystal, probably owing to a weak $Au \cdots Au$ attraction.

According to low-temperature NMR spectra, this structure is also present in solution, but at ambient temperature the complex becomes flexible, and equilibration leads to a loss of the diastereotopic nature of the diagnostic groups in the ligand (CH_2, Ph_2) . The activation barrier determined for this structural relaxation is 12.9 \pm 0.5 kcal/mol. This energy difference may be composed of both the $C-C$ rotation barrier and the Au...Au bond rupture. The former is expected to be quite small for bicyclopropyl and some of its derivatives (ca. 3 kcal/mol) according to theoretical calculations, though an experimental value of as much as 12.5 kcal/mol has been measured for the 1,1'-dinitrobicyclopropyl. However, the situation is a very special one in the latter case, since electronic interactions between the π systems of the nitro groups and the Walsh orbitals of the cyclopropyl groups induce a quite rigid perpendicular orientation of the planes of these groups (with the $NO₂$ plane bisecting the $C - C - C$ angle of the neighbouring cyclopropyl group). For the disulfide 5 no pertinent energy value is available, probably due to the (pseudo) symmetrical near-trans conformation in the solid and in solution, and the resulting temperature independence of the NMR spectra. It appears likely, however, that the $C-C$ bond rotation is not strongly hindered.

This work has been generously supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Leibniz-Programm), by Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, and by Hoechst AG, Degussa AG, and W . C. Heraeus GmbH. K. D. thanks the Technical University of Munich for a scholarship in its graduate program. Mr. J. Riede is thanked for carefully establishing the X-ray data sets.

Experimental

General: All experiments were carried out under an atmosphere of dry, purified nitrogen. Solvents and glassware were dried and saturated/filled with nitrogen. $-$ NMR: Jeol FX 60, GX 270, and GX 400. - IR: Perkin-Elmer 577. - MS: Varian MAT CH 7. -The preparation of compound 1 had been described previously'2'. All other reagents were obtained commercially or by standard literature procedures.

1,1'-Bis(diphenylphosphinyl)bicyclopropyl (2): 125 ml of dry dimethyl sulfoxide are slowly added at room temp. to a mixture of powdered sodium hydride (2.11 g, 88.0 mmol) and trimethylsulfoxonium iodide (19.4 g, 88.2 mmol). Hydrogen gas is evolved. After 1 h of vigourous stirring, this reaction mixture is introduced into a hot solution (70°C) of 2,3-bis(diphenylphosphinyl)-1,3-butadiene (1, 20.0 g, 44.0 mmol) in 100 ml of dimethyl sulfoxide. Stirring is continued for 2 h at 70°C. After cooling to room temp., 200 ml of chloroform is added, and the mixture is extracted four times with 50 ml of water. The organic phase is dried with magnesium sulfate, the solvent is evaporated, and the residue is crystallized from tetrahydrofuran; yield 8.20 g (39%), m.p. 219 °C. $-$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.85$ and 1.60 (A,A' and BB' of AA'BB'XX' system, $N = 16$ and 8 Hz, 4H each, CH₂), 7.3-8.1 (m, 20H, Ph). - ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 12.4$ (br. s, CH₂), 18.0 (AXX', $N = 105.6$ Hz, PC), 127.8 [t(AXX'), $N = 11.5$ Hz, C-3], 131.3(s, C-4), 131.6(AXX', $N = 101.9$ Hz, C-1), 131.7 [t (AXX'), $N = 8.5$ Hz, C-2]; ¹H-coupled: $J(CH) = 165$ Hz for CH₂. - ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 38.11 (s). – MS (EI, 70 eV): m/e (%) = 482 (40) [M⁺].

> $C_{30}H_{28}O_2P_2$ (482.49) Calcd. C 74.68 H 5.85 Found C 74.11 H 5.81

3,3'-Bis(diphenylphosphinyl)-4,4',5,5'-tetrahydro-3,3'-bi(3H-pyr $azolyl)$ (3): Compound 1 (12.5 g, 5.5 mmol) is dissolved in 50 ml of chloroform, treated with a solution of two equivalents of diazomethane in diethyl ether at room temp., and stirred for ca. 12 h. The solvent is then evaporated and the residue crystallized from toluene/hexane mixtures; yield 1.20 g (41%), m.p. 183 °C. $-$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.86 - 2.05, 2.14 - 2.27, 2.88 - 3.07,$ and 4.15-4.22 (m each, 2H each, CH₂), 7.0-7.9 (m, 20H, Ph). - ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 24.04$ [t (AXX'), $N = 6.7$ Hz, CH₂], 78.64 (s, $CH₂$) 104.43 [dd (AXX'), $N = 76.3$ Hz, PC], 127.65, 127.86 [t (AXX') each, $N = 11.0$ and 12.2 Hz, C-3], 129.83 [dd (AXX'), $N =$ 105.0 Hz, C-l], 131.46 and 131.70 (s each, C-4), 132.08 and 132.15 [t (AXX') each, $N = 10.4$ Hz, C-2 (2 diastereotopic Ph)]; ¹H-coupled: $J(CH) = 137.2$ and 142.6 Hz for CH₂. - ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 29.98 (s). - MS (EI, 70 eV): m/e (%) = 538 (0.1) [M⁺], 510 (1.2) $[M^+ - N_2]$, 482 (61) $[2^+]$.

 $C_{30}H_{28}N_4O_2P_2$ (538.53) Calcd. C 66.91 H 5.24 N 10.40 Found C 65.12 H 5.01 N 9.64

 f_1f' -Bis(diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl (4): Compound 2 (12.1 g, 25.1 mmol) is dissolved in 400 ml of toluene and treated with trichlorosilane (30 ml, excess) and triethylamine (12 ml, excess). The mixture is heated at reflux for 3 h, cooled to room temp., and extracted twice with aqueous sodium hydroxide (25%) and twice with water. The organic layer is dried with magnesium sulfate and the solvent evaporated. The residue is crystallyzed from methanol; yield 4.90 g (43%), m.p. 145 °C. $-$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 0.33 and 0.75 (AA'BB'XX' each, $N = 18$ and 16 Hz, 4H each, CH₂), 7.35 (br. s, 20H, Ph). $-$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 10.5$ [t (AXX'), $N = 9.8$ Hz, CH₂], 19.6 [t (AXX'), $N = 5.9$ Hz, PC], 128.3 (s, C-4), 127.6, 134.4, and 137.0 [t (AXX') each, $N = 6.8, 21.5,$ and 12.7 Hz for C-3, C-2, and C-1, respectively]; ¹H-coupled: $J(CH) = 162.1$ Hz for CH₂. - ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.84$ (s). - MS (EI, 70 eV): m/e (%) = 450 (100) [M⁺].

> C30H28P2 (450.50) Calcd. C 79.98 H 6.26 Found C 79.11 H 6.30

l,f'-Bis(diphenylthiophosphinyl/bicyclopropy! (5): Compound 4 (500 mg, 1.11 mmol) and elemental sulfur (71.7 mg, 2.22 mmol) are dissolved in 10 ml of tetrahydrofuran. The mixture is stirred for 1 d at room temp., the solvent evaporated to a small volume, and the product precipitated by the addition of methanol; yield 420 mg (74%), m.p. 190 °C. - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.9$ and 1.8 $(AA'BB'XX'$ each, $N = 16$ and 7 Hz, 4H each, CH₂), 7.45 and 8.1 (m, 20H, Ph). $-$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 15.1$ (br. s, CH₂), 20.0 (AXX', $N = 83$ Hz, PC), 131.4 (s, C-4); 127.9, 131.1, and 132.7 $(AXX'$ each, $N = 11.7, 84.0,$ and 8.8 Hz for C-3, C-1, and C-2, respectively); ¹H-coupled: $J(CH) = 165$ Hz for CH₂. - ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 54.36$ (s).

 $C_{30}H_{28}P_2S_2$ (514.62) Calcd. C 70.02 H 5.48 S 12.46 Found C 69.27 H 5.37 S 13.00

 i,j' -Bis(boranatodiphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl (6): Compound 4 (500 mg, 1.11 mmol) is dissolved in IO ml of tetrahydrofuran and treated with 4.5 ml of a 1 M solution of THF $-BH_3$ in tetrahydrofuran for 1 h at room temp. The solution is concentrated in vacuo and the product precipitated by addition of n -hexane; yield 350 mg (66%), m.p. 182 °C. $-$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.95$ and 1.40 $(AA'BB'XX'$ each, 4H each, CH₂), 7.6 (m, 20H, Ph); BH₃ not located. $-$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 14.2$ (br. s, CH₂), 131.0 (s, C-4), 128.2, 128.3, and 133.4 (AXX' each, $N = 9.8$, 54.7, and 7.8 Hz for C-3, C-1, and C-2, respectively); PC not located. $-$ ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 31.2$ (s).

> $C_{30}H_{34}B_2P_2$ (478.16) Calcd. C 75.36 H 7.17 Found C 75.74 H 7.00

I,l'-Bis(methyldiphenylphosphonio) bicyclopropyl Diiodide (7): Compound 4 (460 mg, 1.02 mmol) is dissolved in 5 ml of chloroform and treated with 2 ml (excess) of iodomethane at room temp. for 3 d in the dark. The solvent is evaporated, the residue extracted with hot toluene, filtered, and dried in vacuo; yield 690 mg (91%), m.p. 205°C. - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃/CF₃CO₂H): $\delta = 1.49$ and 1.88 $(AA'BB'XX'$ each, $N = 15.1$ and 7.8 Hz, 4H each, CH₂), 2.51 $(A_3A'_3XX', N = 12.2$ Hz, 6H, Me), 7.75 (m, 20H, Ph). $-$ ¹³C NMR $(CDC1₃/CF₃CO₂H)$: $\delta = 8.78$ (AXX', $N = 58.0$ Hz, PC), 13.42

(AXX', $N = 89.1$, Me), 16.20 (br. s, CH₂), 117.6, 130.9, and 132.5 **(AXX** each, *N* = 86.7, 12.8, and 9.8 Hz for C-I, C-2, and C-3, respectively); 135.9 **(s, C-4).** - ³¹**P** NM**R** (CDCl₃/CF₃CO₂H): δ = 32.5 **(s).** G H 1 **P** (234.38). G H 4 G 53.34 H 4 G

$C_{32}H_{34}I_2P_2$ (734.38) Calcd. C 52.34 H 4.67 Found C 51.28 H 4.76

1',3'-Dihydro- I', I *'.3',3'-tetraphenyldispiro[cyclopropane-l,4'- (2HJ(l,3Jdiphosphole-S,I"-cyclopropane]-l',3'-diium Diiodide (8):* Compound **4** (760 mg, 1.69 mmol) is dissolved in 15 ml of chloroform and treated with diiodomethane (0.136 ml, 1.69 mmol) at room temp. for 1 month in the dark. The product is precipitated by addition of n-pcntane, filtered, and dried in vacuo; yield 880 mg (73%), m.p. 239 °C. $-$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃/CF₃CO₂H): $\delta = 1.14$ and 1.72 **(AA'BB'XX'** each, $N = 4.0$ and 7.3 Hz, 4H each, CH₂), 5.28 (t, $J = 12.2$ Hz, 2H, PCH₂P), 7.76 (m, 20H, Ph). - ¹³C NMR $(CDCI_3/CF_3CO_2H)$: $\delta = 12.84$ (br. s, CH₂), 19.54 (AXX', $N =$ 81.7 Hz, PC), 21.81 (t, $J = 48.2$ Hz, PCH₂P), 113.30, 131.09, and 133.68 **(AXX'** each, *N* = 87.1, 6.8, and 11.2 Hz for C-I, C-2, and C-3, respectively), 136.85 (s, C-4). $-$ ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃/CF₃CO₂H): $\delta = 41.43$ (s).

$C_{31}H_{30}I_2P_2$ (718.37) Calcd. C 51.83 H 4.21 Found C 51.45 H 4.18

1',1',3',3'- Tetraphenyldispiro[cyclopropane-1,4'-[1 H//1,3ASJdiphosphole-5',l"-cyclopropan J-1'-ium Iodide (9): Compound *8* (1.55 g, 2.16 mmol) is dispersed in 30 ml of tetrahydrofuran and treated, at -70° C, with 1.5 ml of a 1.5 m solution of *nBuLi* in pentane (2.25 mmol). The mixture is allowed to warm to room temp. and stirred **for** ca. 12 h. The solid is filtered, dissolved in chloroform, the solution extracted twice with water, dried with magnesium sulfate and evaporated to dryness; yield 1.00 **g** (79%), m.p. 270°C (dec.). $-$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.75$ and 1.15 (AA'BB'XX' each, 4H each, CH₂), 2.00 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1H, CH), 7.60 (m, 20H, Ph). $-$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.4$ (t, $J = 113.8$ Hz, CH), 10.1 (br. **s,** CH,), 21.5 **(AXX',** *N* = 131.8 Hz, PC), 125.9, 129.4, and 131.7 **(AXX'** each, *N* = 139.6, 12.7, and 10.7 Hz for C-1, C-2, and C-3, respectively), 133.2 (s, C-4). $-$ ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 41.33$ (s).

 $C_{31}H_{29}IP_2$ (590.46) Calcd. C 63.06 H 4.95 Found C 63.74 H 4.96

[I *,I/-Bis(dipheny1phosphino) bicyclupropyl]diiodopalladium(ZI)* (10) : A suspension of PdI₂ (544 mg, 1.51 mmol) in 30 ml of dichloromethane is treated at room temp. with a solution of compound **4** (680 mg, 1.51 mmol) in 20 ml of the same solvent. **A** colourless precipitate is first formed, which redissolved completely after 2 h. The solution is passed through silica gel, and the solvent is evaporated; yield 1100 mg (90%) of a curry-coloured powder, m.p. 345°C. $-$ ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 0.45$ and 0.60 (AA'BB'XX' each, 4H each, CH₂), 7.9 (m, 12H, m-, p-H), 8.25 (m, 8H, o-H). -¹³C NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 9.4$ (br. s, CH₂), 24.5 (AXX', $N =$ 82.0 Hz, PC), 127.2, 127.6, and 134.4 **(AXX'** each, *N* = 51.8, 11.7, and 11.7 for C-1, C-3, and C-2, respectively), 131.2 (s, C-4). $-$ ³¹P NMR ($[D_6]$ DMSO): $\delta = 78.0$ (s).

$C_{30}H_{28}I_2P_2Pd$ (810.71) Calcd. C 44.45 H 3.48 Found C 44.02 H 3.48

Bis[l,l'-bis(diphenylphosphino)bicyclopropyl]rhodium(I) Chloride **(11):** Compound **4** (500 mg, 1.11 mmol) is dissolved in 15 ml of benzene and this solution added at room temp. to a solution of $\left[\text{(CO)}_{2}\text{RhCl}\right]_{2}$ (105 mg, 0.27 mmol) in 10 ml of the same solvent. The mixture is stirred for ca. 12 h, the yellow precipitate filtered, extracted with warm n-hexane, and dried; yield 560 mg (87%), dec. at 263[°]C. $-$ ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 0.30$ and 0.66 (br. s each, 8H each, CH₂), 7.50 (m, 40H, Ph). $-$ ¹³C NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 12.7 $[(AXX')_2Y, N = 19 Hz, CH_2], 25.63 [(AXX')_2Y, N = 82 Hz,$

PC); 129.15, 131.31, 131.73, and 134.72 (br. **s** each for C-3, C-1, C-4, and C-2, respectively); ¹H-coupled: $J(CH) = 164$ Hz for CH₂. -4, and C-2, respectively); ¹H-coupled: $J(CH) = 164$ Hz for CH₂. -
³¹P NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 65.29$ [d, $J(RhP) = 130.0$ Hz]. -³¹P NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 65.29$ [d, J(RhP) = MS (EI, 70 eV): *m/e* (%) = 1003 (100) [M⁺ - Cl]. CmH56CIP4Rh (1039.36) Calcd. C 69.34 **H** 5.43 Found C 68.58 H 5.44

Table 1. Crystal-data collection and structure-refinement parameters for compounds **5,11,** and **12** ~.

	5	11	12
Formula	$C_{30}H_{28}P_2S_2$	$C_{60}H_{56}ClP_4Rh \times$ 2.5 DMSO	$C_{30}H_{28}Au_2Cl_2P_2\times$ 2 CHCl ₃
М.	514.633	1234.702	1154.102
Space group	$P2_1$ (Nr. 4)	$P\bar{1}$ (Nr. 2)	$P21/c$ (Nr. 14)
a [Å]	9.452(1)	12.044(1)	13.152(1)
b [Å]	14.866(1)	13.153(1)	17.133(2)
c [Å]	9.846(1)	20.720(2)	16.522(2)
α [°]	90.0	80.20(1)	90.0
β [°]	104.79(1)	79.74(1)	95.16(1)
γſ°1	90.0	86.53(1)	90.0
$V[\bar{\mathbf{A}}^3]$	1337.7	3181.2	3707.9
Z	$\overline{2}$	2	4
Q_{calcd} [gcm ⁻³]	1.278	1.289	2.067
μ (Mo- K_{α}) [cm ⁻¹]	3.2	5.2	85.7
T [$^{\circ}$ C]	23	23	-55
$(\sin \Theta/\lambda)_{\text{max}}$ [Å ⁻¹]	0.593	0.594	0.572
hki range	$\pm 12, \pm 17, \quad +16, \pm 16,$		$+15$, $+19$,
	$+12$	±25	$+18$
Number of reflections			
measured	4975	11168	7986
unique	4681	11147	5727
observed	4299	9468	4851
Refined parameters	306	665	397
$R^{\rm a)}$	0.028	0.080	0.034
R_{\cdots} ^{b)}	0.026	0.101	0.033
$\Delta \rho_{\rm in}$ [e \cdot A ⁻³]		$+0.30/-0.29$ $+3.27/-2.08$	$+0.80/-1.44$

^{a)} $R = \sum (F_o - F_o)/\sum F_o$. - ^{b)} $R_w = [\sum w(F_o - F_o)^2/\sum wF_o^2]^{1/2}$, $w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$.

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement paramcters for compound *5*

ATOM	X/A	Y/B	Z/C	U (eq.)
P1	0.91406(6)	0.95844(6)	0.52786(6)	0.035
P ₂	0.64132(6)	0.84636(6)	0.12385(6)	0.035
S1	0.87744(8)	0.90632	0.69829(7)	0.048
s2	0.65960(7)	0.95866(6)	0.02656(6)	0.049
C111	1.1072(2)	0.9861(2)	0.5549(2)	0.036
C112	1.2086(3)	0.9416(2)	0.6617(3)	0.049
C113	1.3575(3)	0.9607(2)	0.6860(3)	0.067
C114	1.4045(3)	1.0211(2)	0.6006(4)	0.054
C115	1.3053(3)	1.0647(2)	0.4948(3)	0.050
C116	1.1570(3)	1.0493(2)	0.4723(3)	0.045
C121	0.8137(2)	1.0630(2)	0.4775(2)	0.037
C122	0.7813(3)	1.1153(2)	0.5828(3)	0.047
C123	0.6983(3)	1.1924(2)	0.5469(3)	0.057
C124	0.6460(3)	1.2168(2)	0.4106(4)	0.073
C125	0.6788(4)	1.1656(2)	0.3063(3)	0.095
C126	0.7634(3)	1.0884(2)	0.3396(3)	0.070
C13	0.8707(2)	0.8813(2)	0.3768(2)	0.034
C14	0.9855(3)	0.8079(2)	0.3942(3)	0.066
C15	0.9764(3)	0.8769(2)	0.2844(3)	0.046
C211	0.4505(2)	0.8104(2)	0.0906(2)	0.039
C212	0.3407(3)	0.8759(2)	0.0603(3)	0.056
C213	0.1961(3)	0.8528(2)	0.0351(3)	0.070
C ₂₁₄	0.1574(3)	0.7622(2)	0.0393(3)	0.060
C ₂₁₅	0.2641(3)	0.6969(2)	0.0675(3)	0.049
C216	0.4115(3)	0.7202(2)	0.0923(3)	0.045
C ₂₂₁	0.7272(2)	0.7519(2)	0.0566(2)	0.031
C222	0.7714(3)	0.6727(2)	0.1317(3)	0.042
C223	0.8307(3)	0.6029(2)	0.0708(3)	0.054
C ₂₂₄	0.8425(3)	0.6109(2)	$-0.0646(3)$	0.060
C ₂₂₅	0.7966(3)	0.6881(2)	$-0.1402(3)$	0.050
C226	0.7391(3)	0.7586(2)	$-0.0813(3)$	0.040
C ₂₃	0.7106(2)	0.8533(2)	0.3160(2)	0.033
C24	0.5941(2)	0.8824(2)	0.3882(3)	0.047
C ₂₅	0.6453(3)	0.7876(2)	0.4020(3)	0.038

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters **for** complex **11**

Table **4.** Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters **for** complcx **12**

Bis[chloroaurio(Ijdiphenylphosphino]bicyclopropyl **(12):** Compound **4** (675 mg, 1.50 mmol), dissolved in **15** ml of tetrahydro-1, is added dropwise with stirring at room temp. to a solution of (CO)AuC1(780 **mg,** 3.00 mmol) in **15** ml *of* the same solvent. CO gas is evolved, and a colourless precipitate is formed which is filtered and dried in vacuo; yield 1140 mg **(83%),** dec. at **270°C.** - **'H NMR** (CDCI₃/CF₃CO₂H): $\delta = 0.75$ and 1.30 (br. each, 4H each,

CH₂), 7.6 (m, 20H, Ph). $-$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃/CF₃CO₂H): $\delta = 14.2$ (br., CH₂), 19.5 (AXX', $N = 69.3$ Hz, PC), 126.0 (s, C-1), 129.4 (AXX', *N* = 11.7, **C-3),** 132.5 **(s,** C-4), 134.0 (AXX', *N* = 12.7 Hz, C-2). $-$ ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃/CF₃CO₂H): δ = 40.91 (s). $C_{30}H_{28}Au_2Cl_2P_2$ (915.34) Calcd. C 39.37 H 3.08

Found C 38.83 H 3.13

X-ray Structure Determinations: A summary of the data collection and structure refinement parameters is given in Table 1. Further details of the individual determinations are described below. The final coordinates are presented in Tables $2-4$, Tables $5-7$ contain selected distances and angles.

Compound **5:** Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, *Mo-K,* radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å, graphite monochromator, Θ -2 Θ scan. Lp and decay corrections were applied. After merging of equivalent $data (R_{int} = 0.012)$ the remaining independent structure factors with $F_0 \geq 4.0 \sigma(F_0)$ were deemed "observed" and used for all calculations. $P2_1$ was assumed as the space group and confirmed by suc-

Table 6. Selected interatomic distances **[A]** and angles ["I for complex **11** (for atomic numbering see Figure 2)

Rh1 -- P1	2.312(2)	P2 Rh1 --	2.325(2)
P1 $ c111$	1,818(7)	P1 C121 --	1.832(8)
P1 C13 --	1.826(8)	C211 P2 --	1.819(7)
P ₂ C221 $--$	1.837(9)	P ₂ C23 --	1.858(8)
C13 C14 ——	1.51(1)	C13 C15 —— I	1.52(1)
C13 $--$ C ₂ 3	1.51(1)	C14 $- -$ C15	1.50(1)
C ₂₃ $---$ C ₂₄	1.51(1)	C23 C ₂₅ $--$	1.50(1)
C ₂₄ $- C25$	1.49(1)	Rh ₂ —— P3	2.306(2)
Rh ₂ $---$ P4	2.318(2)	P3 -- C311	1.830(9)
P3 $- -$ C321	1.829(8)	P3 -- C33	1.834(8)
P4 -− C411	1.818(8)	P4 C421 $- -$	1.818(8)
P4 -- C43	1.848(8)	C33 $- -$ C34	1.51(1)
	1.53(1)	C33 -- C43	1.50(1)
C33 $- C35$	1.48(1)	C43 -- C44	1.52(1)
C34 $- - 0.35$		C44 $--$ C45	
C43 $--$ C45	1.53(1)		1.51(1)
S1 -- 01	1.513(6)	$--$ C11 S1	1.811(5)
S1 C12 $--$	1.808(6)	S2 -- 02A	1.51(1)
S2 C21A --	1.81(2)	S ₂ C22A --	1.82(2)
s2 O2B --	1.52(2)	S ₂ C21B $- -$	1.80(1)
s2 C22B $- -$	1.81(2)	O2A $\overline{}$ C22B	1.92(3)
C21B C21A--	0.81(4)	$C22A--O2B$	1.53(4)
C22A-- C22B	1.57(6)	S3 -- 03	1.51(1)
S3 $--$ C31	1.81(1)	S3 -- C32	1.81(2)
$-P2$ P1 -Rh1	81.6(1)	$Rh1 - P1$ -0111	112.2(2)
-0.121 $-P1$ Rh1.	124.8(3)	$C111-P1$ -C121	102.5(4)
$-$ C13 Rh1 -P1	107.6(3)	$C111-P1$ -013	103.4(3)
$-$ C13 C121-P1	104.3(3)	$-P1$ P1 -Rh1	180.0(1)
-0.211 Rh1 -P2	115.7(3)	-0.221 Rh1. -P2	116.5(2)
-0.221 C211-P2	105.8(4)	$-C23$ $Rh1 - P2$	111.1(3)
-C23 C211-P2	101.7(3)	$C221-P2$ -C23	104.4(4)
$-P2$ P2 -Rhl	180.0(1)	P1 $-C14$ $-$ C13	120.4(6)
$-$ C15 P ₁ -013	118.5(5)	C14 $-C13$ $-$ C15	59.2(5)
-013 $-c23$ P1	110.3(5)	C14 $-C13$ $-C23$	120.2(6)
$-c13$ $-C23$ C15	119.9(7)	C13 $-$ C14 $-$ C15	60.8(5)
$-$ C15 -014 C13	59.9(5)	P2 $-c23$ -C13	111.2(5)
-0.24 92 $-c23$	119.0(6)	C13 $-C23$ -0.24	120.2(7)
-0.25 P2	120.4(5)	C13 $-C23$ $-c25$	118.1(7)
$-c23$	59.2(6)	C ₂₃ $-C24$ $-C25$	60.3(6)
$-c23$ -C25 C24			
$-c24$ C23 $-C25$	60.5(5)	P3 -Rh2 $-P4$	82.1(1)
-0.311 Rh 2 $-P3$	111.6(3)	Rh2 -P3 -0.321	123.1(3)
$C311 - P3$ -0.321	104.4(4)	$Rh2 - P3$ $-$ C33	107.7(2)
$-$ C33 C311-P3	104.6(4)	C321-P3 -0.33	103.9(4)
$-P3$ P3 -Rh2	180.0(1)	Rh2 -P4 -C411	112.1(3)
-0421 Rh 2 $-P4$	120.8(3)	C411-P4 -0.421	105.6(4)
$Rh2 - P4$ -043	110.2(2)	$-$ C43 C411-P4	105.3(4)
C421-P4 -c43	101.3(4)	P4 -Rh2 $-P$ 4	180.0(1)
-0.34 P3 $-$ C33	120.8(6)	-0.35 P3 -0.33	120.5(5)
C34 $-c33$ $-C35$	58.4(6)	P3 -0.33 -043	108.6(5)
-043 C34 $-c33$	119.8(6)	-043 C35 $-c33$	121.3(6)
C33 $-$ C34 -C35	61.5(6)	C33 $ C35$ -0.34	60.1(6)
-033 P4 -C43	112.5(5)	$-C43$ P4 -C44	118.6(5)
C33 -043 -044	120.4(7)	P4 -043 -045	119.6(6)
$-C43$ -045 C33	116.8(6)	C44 -C43 -C45	59.4(6)
C43 -0.44 -0.45	60.5(6)	$C43 - C45$ -044	60.1(6)
$ c11$ 01 -S1	103.7(3)	01 -s1 $-$ C12	105.6(3)
C11 $-$ C12 -51	97.3(3)	O3 -53 -C31	103.7(7)
-53 -C32 ٥з	105.6(8)	C31 -S3 $-c32$	97.3(7)

cessful refinement of the structure. The centrosymmetrical alternative $P2_1/m$ can be excluded, because the molecule does not contain an inversion center nor a plane of symmetry as required by this space group for $Z = 2$. Reduced-cell calculations did not indicate any higher symmetry (DELOS, LEPAGE). The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86) and completed by difference Fourier syntheses. *26* H atoms could be located in difference syntheses. The two remaining ones were calculated at idealized geometrical positions. **All** non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, the H atoms were included as fixed atom contributions in the structure factor calculations ($U_{\text{iso}} = 0.05 \text{ Å}^2$). Refinement of the inverse data yielded $R(R_w) = 0.028$ (0.026). The molecular structure is shown in Figure 1.

Compound **11:** Enraf Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, 0-20 scan. Lp and empirical absorption corrections (relative transmission $0.95 - 1.00$) were applied. After merging of equivalent data $(R_{int} =$ 0.95 – 1.00) were applied. After merging of equivalent data ($R_{int} = 0.01$), the independent structure factors with $F_0 < 4.0 \sigma(F_0)$ were deemed "unobserved" and not used in all further calculations. The centrosymmetrical space group $P\bar{1}$ was assumed and confirmed by the successful refinement of the structure. Reduced-cell calculations did not indicate any higher symmetry. The structure was solved by Patterson methods (SHELXS-86) and completed by difference Fourier syntheses. All H atoms were calculated at idealized geometrical positions. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically with the exception of the crystal solvent molecule atoms. The H atoms were included as fixed atom contributions in the structure factor calculations ($U_{\text{iso}} = 0.05 \text{ Å}^2$). The structure of the cation is shown in Figure 2. Two DMSO molecules were refined as rigid groups, one with anisotropic displacement parameters, the second one with isotropic parameters (occupancy 0.5). The remaining DMSO was refined isotropically with the O/C atoms in split positions **(0.4/0.6).** All solvent H atoms were neglected, except for those at the anisotropically refined DMSO.

Compound 12: Syntex P2₁ diffractometer, ω scan, $\Delta \omega = 0.8^{\circ}$. Lp and empirical absorption corrections (relative transmission

Table 7. Selected interatomic distances $[\text{Å}]$ and angles $[\text{°}]$ for com-
plex **12** (for atomic numbering see Figure 3)

-- Au2 Au1	3.085(1)	$--$ C11 Au1	2.301(2)
$-- P1$ Au1	2.237(2)	Au2 $- - 012$	2.284(2)
$--$ P ₂ Au2	2.233(2)	P1 $- C111$	1.817(8)
P1 $-- C121$	1.833(8)	$--$ C13 P1	1.823(7)
P ₂ $ C211$	1.813(7)	P ₂ $- C221$	1.832(7)
P ₂ $-- C23$	1.819(8)	$C13 - C14$	1.54(1)
C13 $--$ C15	1.53(1)	$C13 - C23$	1.52(1)
$--$ C15 C14	1.49(1)	C ₂₃ $- - 0.24$	1.54(1)
C ₂₃ $- C25$	1.52(1)	C ₂₄ $--$ C ₂₅	1.49(1)
C1 $--$ C111	1.737(9)	C1 $-- C112$	1.759(9)
C1 -- C113	1.73(1)	C ₂ $-- C121$	1.75(1)
C ₂ $-- C122$	1.76(1)	C ₂ $-- C123$	1.75(1)
$Au2$ -Au1 -C11	93.8(1)	$Au2 - Au1$ $-P1$	90.8(1)
$C11 - Au1$ $-P1$	174.8(1)	Au1 -Au2 $-C12$	94.0(1)
Aul -Au2 $-P2$	91.2(1)	$C12 - Au2$ $-P2$	174.6(1)
-0111 Au1 -P1	109.0(3)	Au1 -P1 -0.121	113.2(3)
$C111 - P1$ -0.21	104.3(4)	Au1 -P1 $-C13$	114.2(3)
$-C13$ $C111-P1$	107.7(3)	$C121-P1$ $-C13$	107.8(4)
$-C211$ $Au2 - P2$	109.9(3)	$Au2 - P2$ -0.221	111.4(3)
$-C221$ $C211-P2$	104.5(3)	Au2 -P2 $-C23$	114.5(2)
$C211-P2$ $-C23$	107.8(3)	$C221-P2$ $-C23$	108.2(4)
$-$ C14 P1 $-C13$	116.2(5)	P1 $-$ C13 -0.15	116.7(5)
$C14 - C13$ $-C15$	57.9(5)	P1 $-C13 - C23$	114.5(5)
$C14 - C13 - C23$	120.5(6)	$C15 - C13$ $-C23$	119.5(6)
$C13 - C14$ -0.15	60.9(5)	$C13 - C15$ -0.14	61.2(5)
P ₂ $-C23 - C13$	113.8(5)	P ₂ -0.23 $-C24$	116.1(5)
$C13 - C23$ $-C24$	118.0(6)	$-c23$ P ₂ -0.25	117.6(5)
$C13 - C23$ $-c25$	122.0(7)	$C24 - C23$ $-C25$	58.3(5)
$C23 - C24$ -0.25	60.1(5)	$C23 - C25$ $-C24$	61.6(5)
c_{111-c1} $-$ C112	110.1(5)	$C111-C1$ $-$ C113	110.9(6)
$C112-C1$ $-$ C113	111.2(5)	$C121 - C2$ -0.22	110.4(6)
$C121-C2$ $-$ C123	110.8(6)	C122-C2 -0123	110.9(6)

 $0.58-1.00$) were applied to the data. Merging of equivalent data $(R_{int} = 0.02)$ led to the independent structure factors, those of which with $F_0 \geq 4.0 \sigma(F_0)$ were treated as "observed" and used for further calculations. Reduced-cell calculations did not indicate any higher symmetry. The structure was solved by Patterson methods (SHELXS-86) and difference Fourier syntheses. 10H atoms out *of* a total of 28 could be located in difference syntheses, the remainder were calculated at idealized geometrical positions. All non-H atoms were rcfined anisotropically except for the H atoms which were included as fixed atom contributions in the structure factor calculations $(U_{\text{iso}} = 0.05 \text{ Å}^2)$. Solvent H atoms were neglected. The structure is shown in Figure 3.

Further details **of** the crystal structure determinations have been deposited at the Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, Gesellschaft fur **wissenschaftlich-technische** Information mbH, D-7514 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen 2. Requests should be accompanied by the depository number CSD-54222, the names of the authors, and the full literature citation.

CAS Registry Numbers

1: 113017-77-9 *1* **2:** 123542-05-2 / **3:** 123565-78-6 **14:** 123542-06-3 **5:** 123565-79-7 / **6:** 123542-10-9 **7:** 123542-07-4 *18:* 123542-08-5 / *9:* 123542-09-6 / **10:** 123542-11-0 / **11:** 123542-12-1 / **11** ' 2.5 DMSO: 123542-14-3 / **12:** 123542-13-2 / **12** 2 CHCI3: 123542- 15-4 / HSiCl3: 10025-78-2 / PdI₂: 7790-38-7 / [(CO)₂RhCl]₂: 14523-22-9 / (C0)AuCI: 50960-82-2 / trimethylsulfoxonium iodide: 1774- 47-6

- 'I R. P. Hughes in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry* (G. Wilkinson, F. G. **A.** Stone, E. W. Abel, Ed.), vol. 5, p. 277, Per-
- gamon, Oxford 1982. 2, **A.** T. Hutton, C. P. Morley in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry* (G. Wilkinson, J. A. McLefferty, Ed.), vol. 5, p. 1157, Pergamon, Oxford 1988.
- ³⁾ C. Elschenbroich, A. Salzer, *Organometallchemie*, B. G. Teubner Verlag, Stuttgart 1986.
- *4,* H. J. Bestmann, R. Zimmermann in *Methoden der Organischen Chemie* (Houben-Weyl-Miiller), vol. E I, p. 616, Thieme Verlag. Stuttgart, New York 1982.
- **5J** H. Schmidbaur, *Nachr. Chem. Techn. Lab.* **27** (1979) 620; *Adv.*
- *Organomet. Chem.* **14** (1976) 205. *6,* **H.** Schmidbaur, G. Reber, A. Schier, F. E. Wagner, G. Miiller. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **147** (1988) 143.
- ')H. Schmidbaur, A. Wohlleben, F. E. Wagner, 0. Orama, G. Huttner, *Chem. Ber.* **110** (1977) 1748; H. Schmidbaur, A. Wohlleben, **U.** Schubert, G. Huttner, *ibid.* **110** (1977) 2551; **H.** Schmidbaur, A. Wohlleben, *ibid.* **112** (1979) 496; H. Schmidbaur, **A.** Wohlleben. **F.** E. Wagner. D. F. van de Vondel. G. D. F. van der Kelen, *'ibid.* **110** (1377) 2758.
- **B,** H. Schmidbaur. J. R. Mandl. J. M. Bassett, G. Blaschke, *Chem. Ber.* **114** (1981)'433.
- *9,* **H.** Schmidbaur, R. Herr, G. Muller, **J.** Riede, *Organometallics* **4** (1985) 1208; H. Schmidbaur, T. Pollok, R. Herr, F. E. Wagner, R. Bau, **J.** Riede, G. Miiller, *ibid. 5* (1986) 566; H. Schmidbaur, T. Pollok, G. Reber, G. Muller, *Chem. Ber.* **121** (1988) 1345.
- *lo)* H. Schmidbaur, **W.** Graf, G. Muller, *Hclu. Chim. Acta* **69** (1986) 1748.
- ¹¹⁾ H. Schmidbaur, R. Herr, J. Riede, *Chem. Ber.* **117** (1984) 2322; H. Schmidbaur, R. Herr, G. Muller, **J.** Kiede, *Organometallics* **4** (1985) 1208; H. Schmidbaur, R. Herr, T. Pollok, G. Reber, **A.** Schier, G. Müller, *Phosphorus Sulfur* 30 (1987) 273.
- ') **H.** Schmidbaur. K. Dziwok. *G.* Reber. *G.* Miiller. *Helo. Chim. Act;* **70** (1987) 1905.
- **13)** T. Pollok. H. Schmidbaur. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **28** (1987) 1085.
- **14) H.** Schmidbaur, **A.** Schier, B. Milewski-Mahrla, U. Schubert, *Chem. Ber.* **115** (1982) 722; H. Schmidbaur, A. Schier, *ibid.* **114** (1981) 3385; **A.** Schier, **H.** Schmidbaur, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B,* 37 (1982) 1518; M. **A.** Vincent, H. F. Schafer, A. Schier, H. Schmidbaur, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **105** (1983) 3806; H. Schmidbaur, A. Schier, D. Neugebauer, *Chem. Ber.* **116** (1983) 2173.
- **15)** H. Schmidbaur, T. Pollok, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **67** (1984) 2175; *Chern. Ber.* **120** (1987) 1911.
- H. Schmidbaur, R. Herr, T. Pollok, **A.** Schier, G. Miiller, **J.** Riede, *Chem. Ber.* **118** (1985) 3105.
- **17)** H. Schmidbaur, K. Dziwok, **A.** Grohmann, G. Miiller, *Chem. Ber.* **122** (1989) 893.
- '*) H. Schmidbaur, **R.** Herr, *C.* **E.** Zybill, *Chem. Ber.* **117** (1984) 3374.
- **j9)** H. Schmidbaur, T. Costa, B. Milewski-Mahrla, *Chem. Ber.* **114**
- (1981) 1428; **H.** Schmidbaur, T. Costa, *ibid.* **114** (1981) 3063. 20) H. Schmidbaur, W. Graf, G. Miiller, *Angew. Chem.* **100** (1988) 439; *Angew. Chem. fnt. Ed. Engl.* **27** (1988) 417; **F.** Scherbaum, B. Huber, G. Miiller, H. Schmidbaur, *ibid. 100* (1988) 1600; **27** (1988) 1542; F. Scherbaum, **A.** Grohmann, **B.** Huber, C. Kriiger, H. Schmidbaur, *ibid.* **100** (1988) 1602; **27** (1988) 1544; F. Scherbaurn, A. Grohmann, G. Miiller, H. Schmidbaur, *ibid.* **101** (1989) **464,28** (1989) 463.
- H. Braun, **W.** Liittke, *J. Mol. Struct.* **31** (1976) 97.
- **) **J.** Spanget-Larsen, R. Gleiter, K. Gubernator, R. **J.** Ternansky,
- **L. A.** Paquette, *J. Org. Chem.* **47** (1982) 3082. **23)** Y. Kai, P. Knochel, **S.** Kwiatkowski, **J.** D. Dunitz, **J.** F. M. Oth, D. Seebach, **H.-0.** Kalinowski, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **65** (1982) 137.
- **24)** M. *C.* Hall, **B. T.** Kilbourn, **K. A.** Taylor, *J. Chem.* **SOC.** *A,* **1970,** 2539.

[256/89]